

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/831,417	05/09/2001	John Canning	CU-2503-RJS	4222
20330	590 12/19/2002 ARRY		EXAM	INER
LADAS & PARRY 224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE, SUITE 1200 CHICAGO, IL 60604			KIANNI, KAVEH C	
Cincileo, 12			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No. 09/831,417	Applicant(s)	
	1 09/831,417	CANINING ET AL	
Office Action Summary	<u> </u>	CANNING ET AL.	
Office Action Guillinary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Kevin C Kianni	2877 Yhe correspondence address	
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3/vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  If from the mailing date of this communication  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ı.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal matter Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	s, prosecution as to the merits i 11, 453 O.G. 213.	S
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-21</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-21</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 May 2001</u> is/are: a)[			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		pproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		40( ) ( )) (0)	
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			ion).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	3 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	

Application/Control Number: 09/831,417

Art Unit: 2877

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to because certain/essential numbered elements of the drawings in figures 1-3 are not textually labeled. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greene et al. (US 5506925).

Regarding claim 12, Greene teaches an optical device when subjected to localized heating (shown at least in fig. 1; abstract), wherein the device comprises an optical waveguide and a material which absorbs a predetermined wavelength of light (see col. 3, lines 36-44), the localized heating causing changes in optical properties of a region of the waveguide and occurring as a result of exposing the device to light of the predetermined wavelength at an energy level sufficient to heat the material (see col. 2, lines 57-col. 3, line 4; wherein the heating levels of material is shown in fig. 6), the

Page 3

material being arranged to transfer at least some of the heat to the region and to minimize optically-induce alterations of the waveguide whilst the device is exposed to the light (see col. 5, lines 28-48, more specifically lines 43-48; see also col. 4, line 54-col. 5, line 6). However, Greene does not specifically teach that the above change in properties of a region of the waveguide is permanent. It is well known to those of ordinary skill in the art that completely removing the effects of birefringence of a waveguide as well as a region surrounding it known to be permanent change in the properties of the material composing the waveguide or the region surrounding the waveguide, since choosing such a material for permanently changing of its properties would remove birefringence in the waveguide completely (see col. 3, lines 1-4).

With regard to claims13-15, Greene further teaches wherein the material is located outside and within the waveguide and wherein the material comprises a substrate on which the waveguide is formed (see at least fig. 1, the material comprising both waveguide and base layer located on substrate(s); see also col. 4, line 55-col. 5, line 6).

With regard to claims16, Greene further teaches wherein the device comprises an interferometric system and the waveguide comprises one arm of the interferometric system (see col. 4, lines 1-20).

Application/Control Number: 09/831,417

Art Unit: 2877

With regard to claims17, Greene further teaches wherein the localized heating causes thermal relaxation (see col. 1, lines 53-54 and col. 3, lines 1-4; wherein removing birefringence eliminates stress and relaxation), thermal diffusion or induces damage in the material (see col. 4, line 54-col. 5, line 6; see also fig. 6).

With regard to claims18, Greene further teaches wherein the localized heating is used to write a grating structure in the waveguide (see col. 2, lines 35-53; wherein Green's heat radiation using TE/TM shown in fig. 1, causes writing grating structure on the waveguide as in fig. 1 and fig. 4).

Regarding claim 19, Greene teaches an optical device when subjected to localized heating (shown at least in fig. 1; abstract), wherein the device comprises an optical waveguide formed on a substrate (see fig. 1, item waveguide and substrate(s)) selected to absorb a predetermined wavelength of light (see col. 3, lines 36-44), the waveguide being selected to be substantially transparent to the predetermined wavelength (see col. 2, lines 2-8, wherein Green's waveguide is transparent to the selected wavelength light for energy absorption shown in at least fig. 3 and 4), wherein the localized heating causes changes in optical properties of a region of the waveguide, and occurs as a result of exposing the device to light of the predetermined wavelength at an energy level sufficient to heat the substrate (see col. 2, lines 57-col. 3, line 4; wherein the heating levels of material is shown in fig. 6). With respect to Green's teaching of the above change in properties of a region of the waveguide to be

Art Unit: 2877

permanent, the arguments presented in rejection of claim 1, is analogous in rejection of

claim 19.

With regard to claims20, Greene further teaches wherein the predetermined

wavelength of light is a sub-micron wavelength (see col. 3, lines 36-39; wherein the

wavelength range of 193 nm is sub-micron wavelength).

With regard to claims 21, Greene further teaches wherein the predetermined

wavelength of light is absorbed by the substrate substantially at an interface with the

waveguide (see at least fig. 1, items waveguide, base layer and substrate(s); also col. 5,

lines 28-48, more specifically lines 43-48; see also col. 4, line 54-col. 5, line 6).

Citation of Relevant Prior Art

3. Prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In accordance with MPEP 707.05 the following references are pertinent in rejection

of this application since they provide substantially the same information disclosure as this patent

does. These references are:

Suzuki et al. 5754714

Land 6067391

Fokine 6334018

Kristensen et al. 6151429

Ando et al. 6115514

Dragone et al. 5625723

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/831,417

Art Unit: 2877

Smith 4515429

These references are cited herein to show the relevance of the apparatus/methods taught within this reference as prior art.

#### **Contact Information**

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Cyrus Kianni whose telephone number is (703) 308-1216.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font, can be reached at (703) 308-4881.

# Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 308-7722, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 308-7721, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, 2021 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Kevin Cyrus Kianni Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2877 Frank Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2877

December 1, 2002